

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
08/993,946	12/18/1997	THOMAS A. SILVESTRINI	AT 2036.00	5937	
. 7590 09/09/2005			EXAMINER		
Antoinette F. Konski			WILLSE, I	WILLSE, DAVID H	
Bingham McCutchen Three Embarcadero Center		.*	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			3738	•	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		08/993,946	SILVESTRINI, THOMAS A.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dave Willse	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	ne 2005.					
•		action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,9-13,15-17,23 and 24</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,9-13 and 15-17</u> is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
•							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice 3) Information	the of Neterences Orled (170-032) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Art Unit: 3738

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Peyman, US 5,964,748. In the embodiment of Figures 37-45, a small incision 418 is cut in the anterior surface of the cornea (column 15, lines 42-47), an open pocket 426 is created through the incision 418 without ablation of the cornea in some embodiments (column 15, lines 48-57; column 16, lines 3-4; column 17, lines 19-31), and a continuous ring implant 430 is inserted into the open pocket 426 through the small incision 418 (column 16, lines 63-67). Regarding claim 24, the tool 450 being arc-shaped would have been obvious in order to match the circular shape of the pocket 426 and/or a curved incision (column 15, lines 43-44). (Moreover, the limitation on the last two lines of present claim 23 may be viewed as a product-by-process limitation on the implant (MPEP 2113) rather than a positively recited step of the presently claimed method.)

Regarding claim 23: column 16, line 63, through column 17, line 5.

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-13, and 15-17 are allowed.

The Applicant's remarks have been reviewed. The examiner agrees with the Applicant's arguments with regard to claim 1 because Peyman appears to rely on ablation in order to *widen* circular intracorneal channels. However, in some cases the Peyman method does *not* employ ablation to form an open *pocket* within the cornea, as explained above in the modified rejection (which was necessitated by the newly presented language "without ablation of said cornea" at instant claim 24, line 5).

Application/Control Number: 08/993,946

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is 571-272-4762. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine

McDermott, can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Dave Willse

Primary Examiner

Page 3

Art Unit 3738